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## Problem setting

Let consider

$$
z^{\prime}=A z+B v+f, \quad z(0)=z^{0}
$$

where

- $A$ is the generator of an analytic semigroup $\left(e^{t A}\right)_{t \geq 0}$ on a Hilbert space $\mathbb{H}$
- (H) The spectrum of $A$ consists of isolated eigenvalues $\left(\lambda_{j}\right)$ with finite algebraic multiplicity and there is no finite cluster point in $\{\lambda \in \mathbb{C}: \operatorname{Re} \lambda \geq-\sigma\}$
- $B: \mathbb{U} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}\left(A^{*}\right)^{\prime}$ is a linear operator on a Hilbert space $\mathbb{U}$
- $f$ is a given source satisfying an exponential decay at infinity


## Case without delay

- M. Badra, T. Takahashi. 2014: a finite dimensional feedback control is constructed:
A characterization of the exponential stabilization with rate $\sigma>0$ in the case without delay is the well-known Fattorini-Hautus criterion

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \forall \varepsilon \in \mathcal{D}\left(A^{*}\right), \forall \lambda \in \mathbb{C}, \operatorname{Re} \lambda \geq-\sigma \quad A^{*} \varepsilon=\lambda \varepsilon \text { and } B^{*} \varepsilon=0 \\
& \Longrightarrow \quad \varepsilon=0 .
\end{aligned}
$$

There exists $G$ with finite rank such that

$$
v(t)=G(z(t)), \quad t \geq 0
$$

and $v$ stabilizes the system

$$
z^{\prime}=A z+B v+f, \quad z(0)=z^{0}
$$

i.e.

$$
\|z(t)\|_{\mathbb{H}} \leq e^{-\sigma t}\left(\left\|z^{0}\right\|_{\mathbb{H}}+\|f(t)\|_{\mathcal{D}\left(A^{*}\right)^{\prime}}\right), \sigma>0
$$

## Problem setting

$$
z^{\prime}=A z+B v+f, \quad z(0)=z^{0}
$$

In some cases, due to a calculus time, we can not obtain the value of the state $z$ at the instant $t$ and thus we can not construct a control $v$ as a feedback of $z$ at $t$.

Objective: obtain a feedback control $v(t)$ that depends on the values of $z(s)$ for $s \leq t-t_{0}$, where $t_{0}>0$ is a positive constant corresponding to a delay.

## Previous results for equations with delay

Consider, for instance, the wave equation with boundary feedback delay:

$$
\begin{cases}u_{t t}(x, t)-u_{x x}(x, t)=0 & x \in(0, L), t>0, \\ u(0, t)=0, & t>0, \\ u_{x}(L, t)=-\alpha u_{t}(L, t)-\beta u_{t}(L, t-h), & t>0, \\ u_{t}(L, t)=z_{0}(t), & t \in(-h, 0), \\ u(x, 0)=u_{0}(x), u_{t}(x, 0)=u_{1}(x) & x \in(0, L) .\end{cases}
$$

## Assumption

$$
0 \leq \beta<\alpha
$$

If it is not the case, it can be shown that instabilities may appear:

- Datko 1988, Datko, Lagnese, Polis 1986 with $\alpha=0$
- Nicaise, Pignotti 2006 in the more general case for the wave equation (see also Nicaise, V. 2010).


## KdV equation with boundary delay

$$
\begin{cases}y_{t}(x, t)+y_{x x x}(x, t)+y_{x}(x, t) & \\ \quad+y(x, t) y_{x}(x, t)=0, & x \in(0, L), t>0 \\ y(0, t)=y(L, t)=0, & t>0, \\ y_{x}(L, t)=\alpha y_{x}(0, t)+\beta y_{x}(0, t-h), & t>0, \\ y_{x}(0, t)=z_{0}(t), & t \in(-h, 0), \\ y(x, 0)=y_{0}(x), & x \in(0, L),\end{cases}
$$

Assumption

$$
|\alpha|+|\beta|<1
$$

Results [Baudouin, Crépeau, V. 2019]

- Local exponential stability result for non critical lengths $L$
- The decay rate depends on the delay


## KdV equation with internal delay

$$
\begin{cases}y_{t}(x, t)+y_{x x x}(x, t)+y_{x}(x, t)+a(x) y(x, t) & \\ \quad+b(x) y(x, t-h)+y(x, t) y_{x}(x, t)=0, & x \in(0, L), t>0 \\ y(0, t)=y(L, t)=y_{x}(L, t)=0, & t>0, \\ y(x, 0)=y_{0}(x), & x \in(0, L) \\ y(x, t)=z_{0}(x, t), & x \in \omega, t \in(-h, 0)\end{cases}
$$

Results [V. 2021]

- If

$$
\exists c_{0}>0, \quad b(x)+c_{0} \leq a(x), \quad \text { a.e. in } \omega=\operatorname{supp} b
$$

semi-global exponential stability result for all lengths $L$

- If $\operatorname{supp} b \not \subset \operatorname{supp} a$, local exponential stability for all $L<\sqrt{3} \pi$ and for $\|b\|_{L^{\infty}(0, L)}$ small enough


## Selective bibliography

Several works on the topic

- Krstic 2009 : a backstepping method
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- Bekiaris-Liberis, Krstic 2017 : multiple delay


## Selective bibliography

Our approach inspired from

- Bresch-Pietri, Prieur, Trélat 2018: finite dimensional linear systems
- Prieur, Trélat 2019 : one-dimensional reaction-diffusion equation with boundary control
- Lhachemi, Shorten 2019 : structurally damped Euler-Bernoulli beam
- Lhachemi, Prieur 2020 : Riesz spectral operator with simple eigenvalues
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## Construction of time delayed control

Let us consider $\sigma>0$. We first decompose the spectrum of $A$ into the "unstable" modes and the "stable" modes:

$$
\Sigma_{+}:=\left\{\lambda_{j} ; \operatorname{Re} \lambda_{j} \geq-\sigma\right\}, \quad \Sigma_{-}:=\left\{\lambda_{j} ; \operatorname{Re} \lambda_{j}<-\sigma\right\} .
$$

Since $A$ is analytic with (H), then $\Sigma_{+}$is finite.


## Decomposition of the system

Let consider the projection operator $P_{+}$defined by

$$
P_{+}:=\frac{1}{2 \pi \imath} \int_{\Gamma_{+}}(\lambda-A)^{-1} d \lambda
$$

We can define

$$
\mathbb{H}_{+}:=P_{+} \mathbb{H}, \quad \mathbb{H}_{-}:=\left(I d-P_{+}\right) \mathbb{H}
$$

$\mathbb{H}_{+}$is a finite dimensional space. We have $\mathbb{H}_{+} \oplus \mathbb{H}_{-}=\mathbb{H}$ and if we set

$$
A_{+}:=A_{\mid \mathbb{H}_{+}}: \mathbb{H}_{+} \rightarrow \mathbb{H}_{+}, \quad A_{-}:=A_{\mid \mathbb{H}_{-}}: \mathcal{D}(A) \cap \mathbb{H}_{-} \rightarrow \mathbb{H}_{-},
$$

then the spectrum of $A_{+}\left(\right.$resp. $\left.A_{-}\right)$is exactly $\Sigma_{+}\left(\right.$resp. $\left.\Sigma_{-}\right)$.

## Notation

We can proceed similarly for $A^{*}$ :

$$
\begin{gathered}
P_{+}^{*}:=\frac{1}{2 \pi \imath} \int_{\overline{\Gamma_{+}}}\left(\lambda-A^{*}\right)^{-1} d \lambda, \\
\mathbb{H}_{+}^{*}:=P_{+}^{*} \mathbb{H}, \quad \mathbb{H}_{-}^{*}:=\left(I d-P_{+}^{*}\right) \mathbb{H}, \\
A_{+}^{*}:=A_{\mid \mathbb{H}_{+}^{*}}: \mathbb{H}_{+}^{*} \rightarrow \mathbb{H}_{+}^{*}, \quad A_{-}^{*}:=A_{\mid \mathbb{H}_{-}^{*}}: \mathcal{D}\left(A^{*}\right) \cap \mathbb{H}_{-}^{*} \rightarrow \mathbb{H}_{-}^{*} .
\end{gathered}
$$

We also define

$$
\begin{gathered}
\mathbb{U}_{+}:=B^{*} \mathbb{H}_{+}^{*}, \quad \mathbb{U}_{-}:=B^{*}\left(\mathcal{D}\left(A^{*}\right) \cap \mathbb{H}_{-}^{*}\right) \\
p_{+}: \mathbb{U} \rightarrow \mathbb{U}_{+}, \quad p_{-}: \mathbb{U} \rightarrow \mathbb{U}_{-}, \quad i_{+}: \mathbb{U}_{+} \rightarrow \mathbb{U}, \quad i_{-}: \mathbb{U}_{-} \rightarrow \mathbb{U}
\end{gathered}
$$

the orthogonal projections and the inclusion maps. We can define

$$
\begin{gathered}
B_{+}:=P_{+} B i_{+} \in \mathcal{L}\left(\mathbb{U}_{+}, \mathbb{H}_{+}\right) \\
B_{-}:=\left(I d-P_{+}\right) B i_{-} \in \mathcal{L}\left(\mathbb{U}_{-},\left[\mathcal{D}\left(A^{*}\right) \cap \mathbb{H}_{-}^{*}\right]^{\prime}\right)
\end{gathered}
$$

We can prove that

$$
P_{+} B=B_{+} p_{+}, \quad\left(I d-P_{+}\right) B=B_{-} p_{-} .
$$

## Decomposition of the system

We set

$$
z_{+}=P_{+} z, \quad z_{-}=\left(I-P_{+}\right) z
$$

$z$ satisfies the system

$$
z^{\prime}=A z+B v+f, \quad z(0)=z^{0}
$$

if and only if

$$
\left\{\begin{aligned}
z_{+}^{\prime}=A_{+} z_{+}+B_{+} p_{+} v+P_{+} f, & z_{+}(0)=P_{+} z^{0} \\
z_{-}^{\prime}=A_{-} z_{-}+B_{-} p_{-} v+\left(I-P_{+}\right) f, & z_{-}(0)=\left(I-P_{+}\right) z^{0}
\end{aligned}\right.
$$

## Artstein transform

$$
z_{+}^{\prime}=A_{+} z_{+}+B_{+} p_{+} v+P_{+} f, \quad z_{+}(0)=P_{+} z^{0}
$$

The Artstein Transform (Artstein 1982):

$$
w(t):=z_{+}(t)+\int_{t}^{t+t_{0}} e^{(t-s) A_{+}} B_{+} p_{+} v(s) d s
$$

$w$ satisfies the system

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{c}
w^{\prime}=A_{+} w+e^{-t_{0} A_{+}} B_{+} p_{+} u+P_{+} f \\
w(0)=z_{+}(0)
\end{array}\right.
$$

such that $u(t)=v\left(t+t_{0}\right)$ for $t \geq 0$.
$\left(A_{+}, e^{-t_{0} A_{+}} B_{+}\right)$satisfies the Fattorini-Hautus test?

$$
A_{+}^{*} \varepsilon=\overline{\lambda_{j}} \varepsilon, \quad B_{+}^{*} e^{-t_{0} A_{+}^{*}} \varepsilon=0 \Longrightarrow e^{-t_{0} \overline{\lambda_{j}}} B^{*} \varepsilon=0
$$

If $(A, B)$ satisfies the Fattorini's criterion, we get $\varepsilon=0$.

## Stabilization of the closed loop system

Lemma
Let $\sigma>0$. There exists $G$ of finite rank, such that the solution of

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{c}
w^{\prime}=A_{+} w+e^{-t_{0} A_{+}} B_{+} G w+P_{+} f \\
w(0)=w^{0} \in \mathbb{H}_{+}
\end{array}\right.
$$

satisfies

$$
\|w(t)\|_{\mathbb{H}_{+}} \leq C e^{-\sigma t}\left(\left\|w^{0}\right\|_{\mathbb{H}_{+}}+\left\|P_{+} f\right\|_{\mathbb{H}_{+}}\right), \quad t \geq 0
$$

Thus,

$$
v(t)=1_{\left[t_{0},+\infty\right)} G\left(w\left(t-t_{0}\right)\right)
$$

## To express the control $v$ in terms of $z_{+}$

There exists a kernel $K \in L_{\text {loc }}^{\infty}\left(\mathbb{R}_{+}{ }^{2}, \mathcal{L}\left(\mathbb{H}_{+}\right)\right)$such that

$$
v(t)=1_{\left[t_{0},+\infty\right)}(t) G\left[z_{+}\left(t-t_{0}\right)+\int_{0}^{t-t_{0}} K\left(t-t_{0}, s\right) z_{+}(s) d s\right]
$$

Idea of the proof: Inverse of the Artstein transform:

$$
w(t):=z_{+}(t)+\int_{0}^{t} K(t, s) z_{+}(s) d s
$$

Lemma
Let $D_{\infty}:=\left\{(t, s) \in \mathbb{R}^{2}: t \in(0, \infty), s \in(0, t)\right\}$. There exists $K \in L_{\text {loc }}^{\infty}\left(D_{\infty} ; \mathcal{L}\left(\mathbb{H}_{+}\right)\right)$such that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& K(t, s)=e^{\left(t-s-t_{0}\right) A_{+}} B_{+} p_{+} G 1_{\left(\max \left\{t-t_{0}, 0\right\}, t\right)}(s) \\
+ & \int_{\max \left\{t-t_{0}, s\right\}}^{t} e^{\left(t-\xi-t_{0}\right) A_{+}} B_{+} p_{+} G K(\xi, s) d \xi \quad(t>0, s \in(0, t)) .
\end{aligned}
$$

## Proof of the lemma

We set

$$
K_{0}(t):=e^{\left(t-t_{0}\right) A_{+}} B_{+} p_{+} G, \quad K_{0} \in L^{\infty}\left(0, t_{0} ; \mathcal{L}\left(\mathbb{H}_{+}\right)\right)
$$

so the equation writes

$$
K(t, s)=K_{0}(t-s) 1_{\left(\max \left\{t-t_{0}, 0\right\}, t\right)}(s)+\int_{\max \left\{t-t_{0}, s\right\}}^{t} K_{0}(t-\xi) K(\xi, s) d \xi
$$

Let $T>0$, and let us define

$$
D_{T}=\left\{(t, s) \in \mathbb{R}^{2} \mid \quad t \in(0, T), \quad s \in(0, t)\right\}
$$

and

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \Phi: L^{\infty}\left(D_{T} ; \mathcal{L}\left(\mathbb{H}_{+}\right)\right) \rightarrow L^{\infty}\left(D_{T} ; \mathcal{L}\left(\mathbb{H}_{+}\right)\right) \\
&(\Phi K)(t, s)=\int_{\max \left\{t-t_{0}, s\right\}}^{t} K_{0}(t-\xi) K(\xi, s) d \xi, \quad\left((t, s) \in D_{T}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

The mapping $\Phi$ is well-defined, and is a linear and bounded operator of $L^{\infty}\left(D_{T} ; \mathcal{L}\left(\mathbb{H}_{+}\right)\right)$. Moreover,

$$
\|(\Phi K)(t, s)\|_{\mathcal{L}\left(\mathbb{H}_{+}\right)} \leq t\left\|K_{0}\right\|_{L^{\infty}\left(0, t_{0} ; \mathcal{L}\left(\mathbb{H}_{+}\right)\right)}\|K\|_{L^{\infty}\left(D_{T} ; \mathcal{L}\left(\mathbb{H}_{+}\right)\right)} .
$$

## Proof of the lemma

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left\|\left(\Phi^{2} K\right)(t, s)\right\|_{\mathcal{L}\left(\mathbb{H}_{+}\right)}=\left\|\int_{\max \left\{t-t_{0}, s\right\}}^{t} K_{0}(t-\xi) \Phi K(\xi, s) d \xi\right\|_{\mathcal{L}\left(\mathbb{H}_{+}\right)} \\
& \leq\left\|K_{0}\right\|_{L^{\infty}\left(0, t_{0} ; \mathcal{L}\left(\mathbb{H}_{+}\right)\right)} \int_{\max \left\{t-t_{0}, s\right\}}^{t}\|\Phi K(\xi, s)\|_{\mathcal{L}\left(\mathbb{H}_{+}\right)} \\
& \leq\left\|K_{0}\right\|_{L^{\infty}\left(0, t_{0} ; \mathcal{L}\left(\mathbb{H}_{+}\right)\right)}^{2}\|K\|_{L^{\infty}\left(D_{T} ; \mathcal{L}\left(\mathbb{H}_{+}\right)\right)} \int_{\max \left\{t-t_{0}, s\right\}}^{t} \xi d \xi \\
& \leq \frac{t^{2}}{2}\left\|K_{0}\right\|_{L^{\infty}\left(0, t_{0} ; \mathcal{L}\left(\mathbb{H}_{+}\right)\right)}^{2}\|K\|_{L^{\infty}\left(D_{T} ; \mathcal{L}\left(\mathbb{H}_{+}\right)\right)}
\end{aligned}
$$

and by induction

$$
\left\|\left(\Phi^{n} K\right)(t, s)\right\|_{\mathcal{L}\left(\mathbb{H}_{+}\right)} \leq \frac{t^{n}}{n!}\left\|K_{0}\right\|_{L^{\infty}\left(0, t_{0} ; \mathcal{L}\left(\mathbb{H}_{+}\right)\right)}^{n}\|K\|_{L^{\infty}\left(D_{T} ; \mathcal{L}\left(\mathbb{H}_{+}\right)\right)}
$$

## End of the proof of the lemma

In particular, for $n$ large enough, $\Phi^{n}$ is a strict contraction and consequently if we define $\widetilde{\Phi}$ by

$$
(\widetilde{\Phi} K)(t, s):=(\Phi K)(t, s)+K_{0}(t-s) 1_{\left(\max \left\{t-t_{0}, 0\right\}, t\right)}(s)
$$

then $\widetilde{\Phi}^{n}$ is also a strict contraction. This implies that $\widetilde{\Phi}$ admits a unique fixed point.

Remark
As $\operatorname{rank}(G) \leq N_{+}$, we can write

$$
G(\phi)=\sum_{k=1}^{N_{+}}\left(\phi, \zeta_{k}\right)_{\mathbb{H}} v_{k}, \quad\left(\phi \in \mathbb{H}_{+}\right),
$$

where $v_{k} \in \mathbb{U}_{+}, \zeta_{k} \in \mathbb{H}_{+}^{*}$.

## End of the proof of the main result

## I remains:

- to prove that this feedback stabilizes the whole system: it does not destabilize the infinite dimensional system that verifies $z_{-}$

$$
z_{-}^{\prime}=A_{-} z_{-}+B_{-} p_{-} v+\left(I-P_{+}\right) f, \quad z_{-}(0)=\left(I-P_{+}\right) z^{0} .
$$

There exists $\sigma_{-}>\sigma$ such that

$$
\left\|e^{A_{-} t}\right\|_{\mathcal{L}\left(\mathbb{H}_{-}\right)} \leq C e^{-\sigma_{-} t}, \quad\left\|\left(\lambda_{0}-A\right)^{\gamma} e^{A_{-} t}\right\|_{\mathcal{L}_{\left(\mathbb{H}_{-}\right)}} \leq C \frac{1}{t^{\gamma}} e^{-\sigma_{-} t} .
$$

For $t \geq t_{0}$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& z_{-}(t)=e^{A_{-} t}\left(I d-P_{+}\right) z^{0}+\int_{t_{0}}^{t}\left(\lambda_{0}-A\right)^{\gamma} e^{A_{-}(t-s)}\left(\lambda_{0}-A\right)^{-\gamma} B_{-} p_{-} G w\left(s-t_{0}\right) d s \\
& +\int_{0}^{t} e^{A_{-}(t-s)}\left(I d-P_{+}\right) f(s) d s . \\
& \Longrightarrow\left\|z_{-}(t)\right\|_{\mathbb{H}} \leq C e^{-\sigma_{-} t}\left\|z^{0}\right\|_{\mathbb{H}} \\
& +C e^{-\sigma t} \int_{t_{0}}^{t} \frac{1}{(t-s)^{\gamma}} e^{-\left(\sigma_{-}-\sigma\right)(t-s)} d s\left(\left\|P_{+} z^{0}\right\|_{\mathbb{H}_{+}}+\left\|P_{+} f\right\|_{L_{\sigma}^{2}\left(0, \infty ; \mathbb{H}_{+}\right)}\right) \\
& +C e^{-\sigma t} \int_{0}^{t} \frac{1}{(t-s)^{\gamma^{\prime}}} e^{-\left(\sigma_{-}-\sigma\right)(t-s)}\left\|e^{\sigma s} f(s)\right\|_{\mathbb{H}_{-\gamma^{\prime}}} d s
\end{aligned}
$$

## Main result

Using that $\sigma_{-}>\sigma, \gamma<1$ and $\gamma^{\prime}<1 / 2$, we deduce that

$$
\left\|z_{-}(t)\right\|_{\mathbb{H}_{-}} \leq C e^{-\sigma t}\left(\left\|z^{0}\right\|_{\mathbb{H}}+\|f\|_{L_{\sigma}^{2}\left(0, \infty ; \mathbb{H}_{-\gamma^{\prime}}\right)}\right)
$$

Theorem
Assume that $(A, B)$ satisfies the Fattorini criterion. There exists a kernel $K \in L_{\text {loc }}^{\infty}\left(\mathbb{R}_{+}{ }^{2}, \mathcal{L}\left(\mathbb{H}_{+}\right)\right), \zeta_{k} \in \mathcal{D}\left(A^{*}\right), v_{k} \in B^{*}\left(\mathcal{D}\left(A^{*}\right)\right)$, $k=1, \ldots, N_{+}$, such that
$v(t)=1_{\left[t_{0},+\infty\right)}(t) \sum_{k=1}^{N_{+}}\left(z\left(t-t_{0}\right)+\int_{0}^{t-t_{0}} K\left(t-t_{0}, s\right) z(s) d s, \zeta_{k}\right)_{\mathbb{H}} v_{k}$,
stabilizes the whole system

$$
z^{\prime}=A z+B v+f, \quad z(0)=z^{0}
$$

## Reminder of the ideas of the proof

$$
\begin{gathered}
\text { Fattorini-Hautus criterion for }(A, B) \\
\left(A^{*} \epsilon=\lambda \epsilon \text { for } \lambda \in \Sigma_{+} \text {and } B^{*} \epsilon=0\right) \quad \Longrightarrow \quad \epsilon=0 \\
\Downarrow
\end{gathered}
$$

Exponential stabilization for the system that satisfies $w$

$$
\Downarrow
$$

Exponential stabilization for the system that satisfies $z_{+}$

$$
\Downarrow
$$

Exponential stabilization for the whole system that satisfies $z$
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## Heat equation

Let $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^{N}(N \geq 1)$ be a bounded domain of class $C^{2,1}$ and let $\mathcal{O} \subset \Omega$.

$$
\begin{gathered}
\left\{\begin{aligned}
\partial_{t} z-\Delta z=v 1_{\mathcal{O}} & \text { in }(0, \infty) \times \Omega, \\
z=0 & \text { on }(0, \infty) \times \partial \Omega, \\
z(0, \cdot)=z^{0} & \text { in } \Omega,
\end{aligned}\right. \\
\mathbb{H}=L^{2}(\Omega), \quad \mathbb{U}=L^{2}(\mathcal{O}), \\
A z=\Delta z, \quad \mathcal{D}(A)=H^{2}(\Omega) \cap H_{0}^{1}(\Omega), \quad A=A^{*} . \\
B v=v 1_{\mathcal{O}} .
\end{gathered}
$$

If $\varepsilon$ satisfies $A^{*} \varepsilon=\lambda \varepsilon$ and $B^{*} \varepsilon=0$, then

$$
\left\{\begin{aligned}
\lambda \varepsilon-\Delta \varepsilon=0 & \text { in } \Omega, \\
\varepsilon=0 & \text { on } \partial \Omega, \\
\varepsilon=0 & \text { in } \mathcal{O}
\end{aligned}\right.
$$

From standard results on the unique continuation of the Laplace operator, we deduce that $\varepsilon=0$.

## Reaction-convection-diffusion equations

Let $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^{N}(N \geq 1)$ be a bounded domain of class $C^{2,1}$ and $\Gamma$ a non-empty open subset of $\partial \Omega$.

$$
\left.\begin{array}{c}
\left\{\begin{array}{c}
\partial_{t} z-\Delta z-b \cdot \nabla z-c z=0 \\
z=v \quad \text { in }(0, \infty) \times \Omega, \\
z=0 \quad \text { on }(0, \infty) \times \Gamma, \infty) \times(\partial \Omega \backslash \Gamma), \\
z(0, \cdot)=z^{0} \quad \text { in } \Omega,
\end{array}\right. \\
\mathbb{H}=L^{2}(\Omega), \quad \mathbb{U}=L^{2}(\Gamma),
\end{array}\right\} \begin{gathered}
A z=\Delta z+b \cdot \nabla z+c z, \quad \mathcal{D}(A)=H^{2}(\Omega) \cap H_{0}^{1}(\Omega) \\
\mathcal{D}\left(A^{*}\right)=H^{2}(\Omega) \cap H_{0}^{1}(\Omega), \quad A^{*} \varepsilon=\Delta \varepsilon-\bar{b} \cdot \nabla \varepsilon+(\overline{c-\operatorname{div} b}) \varepsilon, \\
\left.B^{*} \varepsilon=-\frac{\partial \varepsilon}{\partial \nu} \right\rvert\, \Gamma .
\end{gathered}
$$

If $\varepsilon$ satisfies $A^{*} \varepsilon=\lambda \varepsilon$ and $B^{*} \varepsilon=0$, then

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{rlrl}
\lambda \varepsilon-\Delta \varepsilon+\bar{b} \cdot \nabla \varepsilon-(\overline{c-\operatorname{divb}}) \varepsilon & =0 & \text { in } \Omega, \\
\varepsilon & =0 & \text { on } \partial \Omega, \\
\frac{\partial \varepsilon}{\partial \nu} & =0 & & \text { on } \Gamma .
\end{array}\right.
$$

From standard results on the unique continuation of the Laplace operator, we deduce that $\varepsilon=0$.

## Oseen system

Let $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^{3}$ be a bounded domain of class $C^{2,1}$ and let $\mathcal{O} \subset \Omega$.

$$
\left\{\begin{aligned}
\partial_{t} z+\left(w^{S} \cdot \nabla\right) z+(z \cdot \nabla) w^{S}-\nu \Delta z+\nabla q=1_{\mathcal{O}} v & \text { in }(0, \infty) \times \Omega \\
\nabla \cdot z=0 & \text { in }(0, \infty) \times \Omega \\
z=0 & \text { on }(0, \infty) \times \partial \Omega \\
z(0, \cdot)=z^{0} & \text { in } \Omega
\end{aligned}\right.
$$

$$
\mathbb{H}=\left\{z \in\left[L^{2}(\Omega)\right]^{3}: \nabla \cdot z=0 \text { in } \Omega, z \cdot n=0 \text { on } \partial \Omega\right\}, \quad \mathbb{U}=\left[L^{2}(\mathcal{O})\right]^{3}
$$

We denote by $\mathbb{P}$ the orthogonal projection $\mathbb{P}:\left[L^{2}(\Omega)\right]^{3} \rightarrow \mathbb{H}$.

$$
\begin{gathered}
\mathcal{D}(A)=\left[H^{2}(\Omega) \cap H_{0}^{1}(\Omega)\right]^{3} \cap \mathbb{H}, \quad A z=\mathbb{P}\left(\nu \Delta z-\left(w^{S} \cdot \nabla\right) z-(z \cdot \nabla) w^{S}\right) \\
B v=\mathbb{P}\left(1_{\mathcal{O}} v\right), \quad B^{*} \varepsilon=\varepsilon_{\mid \mathcal{O}}
\end{gathered}
$$

If $\varepsilon$ satisfies $A^{*} \varepsilon=\lambda \varepsilon$ and $B^{*} \varepsilon=0$, then

$$
\left\{\begin{aligned}
\lambda \varepsilon-\nu \Delta \varepsilon-\left(w^{S} \cdot \nabla\right) \varepsilon+\left(\nabla w^{S}\right)^{*} \varepsilon+\nabla \pi=0 & \text { in } \Omega \\
\nabla \cdot \varepsilon=0 & \text { in } \Omega \\
\varepsilon=0 & \text { on } \partial \Omega \\
\varepsilon \equiv 0 & \text { in } \mathcal{O}
\end{aligned}\right.
$$

By [Fabre Lebeau 1996], we deduce that $\epsilon=0$.

## Navier-Stokes system

We consider the stabilization of the Navier-Stokes system with internal control:

$$
\left\{\begin{aligned}
\partial_{t} \widetilde{z}+(\widetilde{z} \cdot \nabla) \widetilde{z}-\nu \Delta \widetilde{z}+\nabla \widetilde{q}=1_{\mathcal{O}} v+f^{S} & \text { in }(0, \infty) \times \Omega \\
\nabla \cdot \widetilde{z}=0 & \text { in }(0, \infty) \times \Omega \\
\widetilde{z}=b^{S} & \text { on }(0, \infty) \times \partial \Omega \\
\widetilde{z}(0, \cdot)=\widetilde{z}^{0} & \text { in } \Omega
\end{aligned}\right.
$$

around the stationary state

$$
\left\{\begin{aligned}
\left(w^{S} \cdot \nabla\right) w^{S}-\nu \Delta w^{S}+\nabla r^{S}=f^{S} & \text { in } \Omega \\
\nabla \cdot w^{S}=0 & \text { in } \Omega \\
w^{S}=b^{S} & \text { on } \partial \Omega
\end{aligned}\right.
$$

We obtain the local stabilization result for the Navier-Stokes system with internal control with delay.

## Conclusion

Conclusion

- Large class of parabolic systems with input delay
- The Fattorini-Hautus criterion yields the existence of such a feedback control, as in the case of stabilization without delay
- Application to several systems

Open problems

- Time dependent delay
- Presence of disturbances


## Happy birthday Marius!

