Control and Analysis of PDEs

In honour of Marius Tucsnak

Bordeaux, November 29th – December 1st, 2021

Remarks on the Convergence to equilibrium in some parabolic evolution equations

Bordeaux, November 29th – December 1st, 2021



Otared Kavian Département de Mathématiques

Université de Paris-Saclay (site de Versailles) 45, avenue des Etats Unis 78035 Versailles cedex (France) kavian@math.uvsq.fr



- Results concerning non local parabolic equations are obtained in collaboration with Matthieu Alfaro (université de Rouen) and Pierre Gabriel Université de Paris-Saclay (site de Versailles), a work in progress:
 - *Confining Integro-Differential Equations Originating from Evolutionary Biology: Ground States and Long Time Dynamics.*

Today's talk



Introduction Döblin's theorem Nonlocal equation: ground state Spectral gap Spectral gap in the general case Linear nonlocal equation: convergence Nonlinear nonlocal equation

Introduction



- Consider a Banach space *X*, a subspace of $L^1(d\mu)$, the space of integrable functions on a measurable set Ω with respect to a positive measure $d\mu$.
- Recall that a linear C_0 semigroup on X is a family of bounded operators $S(t) : X \longrightarrow X$, for $t \ge 0$, such that

S(0) = I, $S(t + \tau) = S(t)S(\tau)$, $\forall u \in X$, $\lim_{t \to 0} S(t)u = u$.

Let $(S(t))_{t\geq 0}$ be a linear C_0 semigroup acting on X which preserves positivity, that is

$$f \in X$$
, $f \ge 0 \implies S(t)f \ge 0$.

• Our aim is to study the limit of S(t)f as $t \to +\infty$, for a given $f \ge 0$.

Introduction



▶ The family under study is a non local diffusion equation of the form

(1)
$$\begin{cases} \partial_t f = \sigma^2 (J * f - f) - (W(x) - \overline{W}(f))f & \text{in } (0, \infty) \times \mathbb{R}^N \\ f(0, x) = f_0(x) \ge 0 & \text{in } \mathbb{R}^N, \qquad \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} f_0(x) = 1. \end{cases}$$

where $J \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^N) \cap L^2(\mathbb{R}^N)$ and $W \ge 0$ are such that

$$J \ge 0$$
, $\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} J(x) dx = 1$, $J(-x) = J(x)$, $\lim_{|x| \to \infty} W(x) = +\infty$,

while $\overline{W}(u)$ is a nonlocal term defined by

$$\overline{W}(f) := \overline{W}(f(t)) := \langle f(t, \cdot), W \rangle := \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} W(y) f(t, y) dy.$$

This equation is considered in the study of replication-mutation mathematical models in biology.

Introduction



- A classical approach is to study the spectrum $\sigma(L)$ of the generator *L* of the semigroup, that is the operator *L* such that $S(t) = \exp(tL)$.
- For instance, one tries to show that $0 \in \sigma(L)$ and that there exists a non trivial $\varphi \ge 0$ verifying $L\varphi = 0$.
- Then one tries to prove that *L* has a spectral gap: this means that there exists $\omega_0 > 0$ verifying

 $\sigma(L) \smallsetminus \{0\} \subset \{z \in \mathbb{C} ; \operatorname{Re}(z) < -\omega_0\}.$

Finally one shows that $S(t)f_0$ converges to a multiple of φ provided $f_0 \ge 0$, with a decay rate of order e^{-at} for some *a* verifying $0 < a \le \omega_0$.



A very elegant result is due to Wolfgang Döblin (1915 - 1940), sent to the French « Académie des Sciences » in February 1940 in a sealed document (number 11.688), which was unsealed and made public only in 2000.

Consider a positive semigroup $(S(t))_{t\geq 0}$ acting on the space $L^1(d\mu)$ and such that for $f \in L^1(d\mu)$ we have

$$M(S(t)f) = M(f),$$

where we denote by M(f) the total mass of f, that is

$$M(f) := \int f d\mu.$$

Then we have



1 Döblin's Theorem. Assume that there exists T > 0, a number $\theta \in (0, 1)$, and a function (or measure...) $\varphi \ge 0$ for which $M(\varphi) = 1$ and such that for all $g \in L^1(d\mu)$, verifying $g \ge 0$, one has

(2)
$$S(T)g \ge \theta M(g) \varphi.$$

Then for any $f \in L^1(d\mu)$ such that M(f) = 0 one has

(3)
$$||S(T)f||_{L^1(d\mu)} \le (1-\theta) ||f||_{L^1(d\mu)},$$

and also

(4)
$$||S(t)f||_{L^{1}(d\mu)} \leq e^{-\omega t} ||f||_{L^{1}(d\mu)},$$

with

$$\omega := \frac{-\log(1-\theta)}{T} > 0.$$



• Indeed, if M(f) = 0, write $f = f^+ - f^-$ with $f^\pm := \max(0, \pm f)$, and set $\lambda := M(f^+) = \int f^+ d\mu = \int f^- d\mu.$

Then

(5)
$$\begin{split} \|S(T)f\|_{L^{1}(d\mu)} &= \|(S(T)f^{+} - \theta\lambda\varphi) + (\theta\lambda\varphi - S(T)f^{-})\|_{L^{1}(d\mu)} \\ &\leq \|S(T)f^{+} - \theta\lambda\varphi\|_{L^{1}(d\mu)} + \|\theta\lambda\varphi - S(T)f^{-}\|_{L^{1}(d\mu)} \,. \end{split}$$

▶ But thanks to (2) we have $S(T)f^{\pm} - \theta\lambda\varphi \ge 0$, and so

$$\|S(T)f^{\pm} - \theta\lambda\varphi\|_{L^{1}(d\mu)} = \int \left(S(T)f^{\pm} - \theta\lambda\varphi\right)d\mu = (1 - \theta)\lambda,$$

which, plugged into (5) and noting that $2\lambda = ||f||_{L^1(d\mu)}$, yields $||S(T)f||_{L^1(d\mu)} \le 2(1-\theta)\lambda = (1-\theta) ||f||_{L^1(d\mu)}$.



Now the proof of the exponential decay of S(t)f when M(f) = 0, is straightforward: indeed since $|S(t)f| \le S(t)|f|$, we have

$$||S(t)f||_{L^{1}(d\mu)} \le ||S(t)|f|||_{L^{1}(d\mu)} = ||f||_{L^{1}(d\mu)},$$

and so S(t) is a contractive semigroup in $L^1(d\mu)$.

▶ If t > 0, write $t = nT + \tau$ for $\tau \in [0, T)$ and $n \ge 0$ integer. Noting that

 $c_1 := \sup_{0 \le \sigma \le T} \|S(\sigma)\| = 1,$

and using the semigroup property of S(t) we get

 $\|S(t)f\|_{L^{1}(d\mu)} \leq c_{1} \|S(T)^{n}f\|_{L^{1}(d\mu)} \leq (1-\theta)^{n} \|f\|_{L^{1}(d\mu)}.$

Finally, since $n = (t - \tau)/T$ we deduce (4).



In particular, if we know that

 $\forall t \ge 0, \quad S(t)\varphi = \varphi, \qquad M(\varphi) = 1, \qquad \varphi \ge 0,$ then applying the above result to the function

 $f - M(f)\varphi$,

we conclude that for any initial data $f \ge 0$ we have

$$\|S(t)f - M(f)\varphi\|_{L^{1}(d\mu)} \le e^{-\omega t} \|f - M(f)\varphi\|_{L^{1}(d\mu)}.$$

Despite this result being very elegant and powerful, the main issue is to prove (2), even if one succeeds to prove the existence of the ground state φ . Indeed, in most interesting cases, one has $\varphi > 0$ in \mathbb{R}^N and it is not easy to show a uniform lower bound for S(t)f when $f \ge 0$ and $f \not\equiv 0$.

We study existence of a positive stationary solution (or ground state) for the equation

(6)
$$\begin{cases} \partial_t f = \sigma^2 (J * f - f) - (W(x) - \overline{W}(f)) f & \text{in } (0, \infty) \times \mathbb{R}^N \\ f(0, x) = f_0(x) \ge 0 \text{ in } \mathbb{R}^N, \quad M(f_0) = 1. \end{cases}$$

This amounts to find $f_0 \ge 0$ such that $f_0 \not\equiv 0$ and

 $\sigma^2 (J * f_0 - f_0) - W(x) f_0 + \overline{W}(f_0) f_0 = 0,$

so that setting $\lambda := \overline{W}(f_0) > 0$, we end up looking for $f_0 \ge 0$ solution to $-\sigma^2 J * f_0 + W f_0 = (\lambda - \sigma^2) f_0.$





This is an eigenvalue problem: if $\varphi \ge 0$ is an eigenfunction and $\lambda_1 \in \mathbb{R}$ are such that

(7)
$$-\sigma^2 J * \varphi + W \varphi = \lambda_1 \varphi, \qquad \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\varphi(x)|^2 dx = 1,$$

then setting

$$\lambda := \lambda_1 + \sigma^2$$
, $f_0 := \alpha \varphi$, with $\alpha := \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \varphi(x) W(x) dx \right)^{-1} \lambda$,

we have a stationary solution for (6), and $M(f_0) = 1$ (actually one has also $\lambda = \lambda_1 + \sigma^2 > 0$ so that $f_0 \ge 0$).

Hence we are going to prove that the linear operator associated to equation (6) has a positive eigenfunction, associated to an eigenvalue $\lambda_1 > -\sigma^2$.



Assume that $J \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^N) \cap L^2(\mathbb{R}^N)$ satisfies

(8)
$$J \ge 0, \quad J(x) = J(-x), \quad \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} J(x) dx = 1,$$

and

(9) $\exists J_0 > 0, \ \exists r_0 > 0, \ J(x) \ge J_0 \text{ on } B(0, r_0).$

The potential W satisfies

(10) $W \in C(\mathbb{R}^N), \quad W \ge 0, \quad \lim_{|x| \to \infty} W(x) = +\infty,$

and

(11) $\exists x_* \in \mathbb{R}^N, \ \exists r_0 > 0, \quad W(x_*) = 0, \quad \frac{1}{W} \mathbb{1}_{[W>0]} \notin L^1(B(x_*, r_0)).$



▶ Then consider the operator (L, D(L)) acting on $L^2(\mathbb{R}^N)$ defined by

$$Lu := -\sigma^2 J * u + Wu, \quad D(L) := L^2(1+W).$$

equation (6) can be written as

 $\partial_t f = -Lf - \sigma^2 f + \overline{W}(f)f, \qquad f(0) = f_0 \ge 0, \qquad M(f_0) = 1,$

and the eigenvalue problem (7) reads

$$L\varphi = \lambda_1 \varphi, \qquad \|\varphi\|^2 = 1,$$

and one would like to have $\varphi \ge 0$ and $\lambda_1 + \sigma^2 > 0$.



- Equation (1) has been widely studied, when instead of the linear non local diffusion $\sigma^2(J * f f)$ one has a diffusion given for instance by $-(-\Delta)^s$ for $0 < s \le 1$, or in some special cases of J and W (for instance M. Alfaro, J. Coville, R. Bürger, F. Li, X. Wang, G. Legendre).
- For a diffusion equation like

$$\partial_t f = \Delta f - (|x|^4 - |x|^2)f$$

one can show easily that there exists a ground state $\varphi > 0$ and $\lambda_1 \in \mathbb{R}$ such that

$$-\Delta \varphi + (|x|^4 - |x|^2)\varphi = \lambda_1 \varphi.$$

More generally the existence of a ground state for equations such as

$$-\Delta \varphi + W \varphi = \lambda_1 \varphi$$

has been widely studied (see M. Reed & B. Simon, L.A. Takhtajan).



2 Theorem. There exists $\varphi \in C_0(\mathbb{R}^N) \cap L^2(1+W)$ and $\lambda_1 \in \mathbb{R}$, an *eigenvalue of multiplicity one, such that*

$$-\sigma^2 J * \varphi + W \varphi = \lambda_1 \varphi,$$

and moreover

 $-\sigma^2 < \lambda_1 < 0, \qquad \forall x \in \mathbb{R}^N, \quad 0 < \varphi(x) \le \frac{\sigma^2 \|J\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^N)}}{W(x) - \lambda_1}.$



Define

$$E(u) := (Lu|u) = -\sigma^2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} (J * u)(x)u(x)dx + \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} W(x)u^2(x)dx.$$

and the manifold

$$S := \left\{ u \in L^2(1+W) ; \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} u^2(x) dx = 1 \right\}.$$

We want to show that

 $\lambda_1 := \inf_{u \in S} E(u)$

is achieved for some $\varphi \in S$ such that $\varphi \ge 0$.

The difficulty here is that we do not have any compactness in the imbedding $L^2(1+W) \subset L^2(\mathbb{R}^N)$.



- One shows first that there exists $u \in S$ such that E(u) < 0 and thus $\lambda_1 < 0$. (This is the crucial step...).
- Then one verifies that if $u_n \rightarrow u$ in $L^2(1 + W)$ one has

$$\sigma^2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} (J * u_n) u_n dx \to \sigma^2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} (J * u) u dx$$

This is due to the fact that on the one hand for $x \in \mathbb{R}^N$ we have $K(x - \cdot) \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^N)$ and thus $K * u_n(x) \to K * u(x)$, and on the other hand $u_n \in L^2(1 + W)$.

Next observe that $E(|u|) \le E(u)$, so that if

$$\varphi_n \in S$$
 satisfies $\lambda_1 \leq E(\varphi_n) \leq \lambda_1 + \frac{1}{n}$,

we can assume that $\varphi_n \ge 0$.



Moreover since

$$\int W(x)\varphi_n(x)^2 dx = E(\varphi_n) + \sigma^2 \int (J * \varphi_n)\varphi_n dx \le \lambda_1 + 1 + \sigma^2,$$

assuming that $\varphi_n \rightharpoonup \varphi$ in $L^2(1+W)$

$$0 \leq \int W(x)\varphi_n(x)^2 dx = E(\varphi_n) + \sigma^2 \int (J * \varphi_n)\varphi_n \to \lambda_1 + \sigma^2 \int (J * \varphi)\varphi dx,$$

and by Fatou's lemma

$$\int W(x)\varphi(x)^2 dx \leq \lambda_1 + \sigma^2 \int (J * \varphi)\varphi dx \leq \lambda_1 + \sigma^2.$$

Since $\lambda_1 < 0$, this implies that $\varphi \neq 0$, and hence $\lambda_1 + \sigma^2 > 0$.



Now the same inequality shows that

$$E(\varphi) \leq \lambda_1$$
 and obviously $\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \varphi(x)^2 dx \leq 1.$

Therefore, if $\theta := \|\varphi\|_{L^2} < 1$, upon considering $\widetilde{\varphi} := \varphi/\theta$, we would get

$$\widetilde{\varphi} \in S$$
, $\lambda_1 \leq E(\widetilde{\varphi}) = \theta^{-2}E(\varphi) \leq \theta^{-2}\lambda_1 < \lambda_1$,

since $\lambda_1 < 0$. This means that we must have $\|\varphi\|_{L^2} = 1$ and $\varphi \in S$ while $E(\varphi) = \lambda_1$.

Finally since

(12)
$$\varphi = \frac{\sigma^2 J * \varphi}{W - \lambda_1}$$

and $J * \varphi \in C_0(\mathbb{R}^N)$, we infer that $\varphi \in C_0(\mathbb{R}^N)$.



- In order to prove the positivity of φ, one may use (12), but in order to show the simplicity of λ₁ we need a strong maximum principle for the operator *L*.
 - **3 Lemma.** Let $1 \le p \le \infty$ and $f \in L^p(\mathbb{R}^N)$. If $f \ge 0$ and $f \not\equiv 0$ is given and $u \in L^p(\mathbb{R}^N)$ solves

$$Lu + \lambda u = f,$$

for some $\lambda > \sigma^2$, then $u \ge 0$, and if $u \in C_0(\mathbb{R}^N)$ we have u > 0.

• When $p < \infty$, write

 $\sigma^2 J * u^- + (W + \lambda)u = f + \sigma^2 J * u^+ \ge 0$

and then multiply by $(u^{-})^{p-1} \mathbb{1}_{[u<0]}$ to get

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \left(W(x) + \lambda - \sigma^2 \right) |u^-(x)|^p \, dx \le 0 \quad \Longrightarrow \quad u^- \equiv 0.$$

• When $p = \infty$, denote

$$m := \operatorname{ess\,inf}_{x \in \mathbb{R}^N} u(x).$$

Since $J \ge 0$, we have

$$\sigma^2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} J(x-y)u(y)dy \ge m\sigma^2 \int J(x-y)dy = m\sigma^2,$$

so that

$$(W(x) + \lambda)u = f + \sigma^2 J * u \ge \sigma^2 m.$$

▶ If m < 0, consider a sequence $(x_n)_n$ such that $u(x_n) \rightarrow m$ with $m \le u(x_{n+1}) \le u(x_n) < 0$, and

$$0 \le u(x_n) - m \le \frac{-1}{2\lambda}(\lambda - \sigma^2)m.$$





One would get

$$0 \leq -W(x_n)u(x_n) \leq \lambda(u(x_n) - m) + (\lambda - \sigma^2)m \leq \frac{1}{2}(\lambda - \sigma^2)m < 0.$$

Consequently one must have $m \ge 0$, that is $u \ge 0$ a.e.



▶ In order to prove φ > 0 note that if $λ > σ^2$ and $f := (λ_1 + λ)φ$, we have $f \ge 0$ and $f \neq 0$

 $L\varphi + \lambda\varphi = f \ge 0$

therefore $\varphi > 0$, thanks to the previous lemma.

Next one shows:

4 Lemma. The eigenvalue λ_1 is simple.

Proof.

• Otherwise there would exist $v \neq 0$ such that $v^{\pm} \neq 0$ and $Lv = \lambda_1 v$.

• In particular $\lambda_1 \leq E(|v|) \leq E(v) = \lambda_1$, and thus $L|v| = \lambda_1 |v|$.



• Therefore $Lv^{\pm} = \lambda_1 v^{\pm}$ and for $\lambda > \sigma^2$ by Lemma 3 we have

 $Lv^{\pm} + \lambda v^{\pm} = (\lambda_1 + \lambda)v^{\pm} \ge 0 \implies v^{\pm} > 0 \text{ in } \mathbb{R}^N.$

Since $(v^+|v^-) = 0$, this is a contradiction.

Spectral gap



The eigenfunction φ being found with a simple eigenvalue $\lambda_1 < 0$, we define (13) $S_0 := \left\{ u \in L^2(1+W) ; (u|\varphi) = 0 \text{ and } \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |u(x)|^2 dx = 1 \right\},$ and

(14)
$$\lambda_2 := \inf_{u \in S_0} E(u).$$

Then we have

5 Lemma. We have either $\lambda_2 \ge 0$ or there exists $\psi \in S_0$ such that $\lambda_1 < \lambda_2 = E(\psi) < 0$.

Spectral gap



- Indeed, if λ₂ < 0, following the same arguments as in the proof of Theorem
 2, one shows that λ₂ is achieved for some ψ ∈ S₀.
- Now, since $\psi \neq 0$, and $(\varphi | \psi) = 0$, we have $\psi^{\pm} \neq 0$, and

$$\lambda_2 = E(\psi) = E(\psi^+) + E(\psi^-) + 2\sigma^2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} J(y)\psi^+(x-y)\psi^-(x)dxdy.$$

► However $E(\psi^{\pm}) > \lambda_1 \|\psi^{\pm}\|^2$, since ψ^{\pm} cannot be a multiple of φ , and $E(\psi^+) + E(\psi^-) > \lambda_1 \|\psi^+\|^2 + \lambda_1 \|\psi^-\|^2 = \lambda_1 \|\psi\|^2 = \lambda_1$.

Also clearly

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^N}\int_{\mathbb{R}^N}J(y)\psi^+(x-y)\psi^-(x)dxdy\geq 0,$$

and so $\lambda_2 > \lambda_1$.



Since $(Av|v) \le 0$, the semigroup $S_A(t) := e^{tA}$ is contractive in $L^2(\mathbb{R}^N)$ $\|S_A(t)\|_{L^2 \to L^2} \le 1.$

In what follows let $1 \le p < \infty$. Recall (cf. the book *One parameter semigroups* by K.J. Engel & R. Nagel, chapter 4, § 2) that the *growth bound* of S_A is defined as being

 $\omega_0(A) := \inf \left\{ \omega \in \mathbb{R} ; \exists M > 0, \forall t \ge 0, \|S_A(t)\|_{L^p \to L^p} \le M e^{\omega t} \right\},$

and the *spectral bound* of *A* (which is self-adjoint in $L^2(\mathbb{R}^N)$) is

$$s(A) := \sup \{ \operatorname{Re}(\lambda) ; \lambda \in \sigma(A) \} = \sup \{ \lambda ; \lambda \in \sigma(A) \}.$$

• Thus in our case we have $\omega_0(A) = s(A) = 0$.



We wish to show that there exists a > 0 such that $\sigma(A) \setminus \{0\} \subset (-\infty, -a]$, or

$$\omega_0(A_{|\varphi^{\perp}}) = s(A_{|\varphi^{\perp}}) \le -a.$$

► To this end we shall use the notion of essential growth bound, defined by $\omega_{ess}(A) := \inf \left\{ \omega \in \mathbb{R} ; \exists M > 0, \forall t \ge 0, \|S_A(t)\|_{ess} \le M e^{\omega t} \right\},$ where the essential norm of a bounded operator *B* is defined by $\|B\|_{ess} := \inf \left\{ \|B - T\|_{L^p \to L^p} ; T : L^p(\mathbb{R}^N) \longrightarrow L^p(\mathbb{R}^N) \text{ is compact} \right\}.$

► Clearly $\omega_{ess}(A) \le \omega_0(A)$. One says that S_A is **quasi-compact** if $\omega_{ess}(A) < 0$, and the main interest of this notion is the following result (Corollary 2.11, chapitre 4, § 2 of the above book by Engel & Nagel)



6 Theorem. If $\omega > \omega_{ess}(A)$ then

$$\sigma(A) \cap \left\{ \lambda \in \mathbb{C} ; \operatorname{Re}(\lambda) \geq \omega \right\}.$$

is at most a finite number of eigenvalues of A, each having a finite multiplicity.

In our case, *A* is self-adjoint (in $L^2(\mathbb{R}^N)$) and we show that $\omega_{ess}(A) < 0$. Then we fix $0 < a < -\omega_{ess}(A)$ in order to obtain a decay rate of order e^{-at} for $S_A(t)$ in the space φ^{\perp} .

To show that $\omega_{ess}(A) < 0$, we split *A* as the sum of two operators A_0 and *B* $A = A_0 + B$, $A_0 u := \lambda_1 u - W u$, $D(A_0) := D(L)$, $B u := \sigma^2 J * u$.



• We have $S_{A_0}(t)u_0 = e^{t(\lambda_1 - W)}u_0$ and

$$\|S_{A_0}(t)\|_{L^p \to L^p} \le e^{\lambda_1 t}, \qquad \|B\|_{L^p \to L^p} \le \sigma^2.$$

Writing equation (17) in two forms

$$\frac{dv}{dt} = A_0v + Bv$$
 and $\frac{dv}{dt} = Bv + A_0v$, with $v(0) = v_0$,

and then considering the mild solution of each of these forms we obtain

$$v(t) = S_{A_0}(t)v_0 + \int_0^t S_{A_0}(t-\tau)Bv(\tau)d\tau$$

= $S_B(t)v_0 + \int_0^t S_B(t-\tau)A_0v(\tau)d\tau$,

one obtains the Duhamel formula



$$S_A(t) = S_{A_0}(t) + \int_0^t S_{A_0}(t-\tau) B S_A(\tau) d\tau$$

= $S_B(t) + \int_0^t S_B(t-\tau) A_0 S_A(\tau) d\tau$.

Since $||S_{A_0}(t)||_{L^p \to L^p} \le e^{\lambda_1 t}$ and $\lambda_1 < 0$, using the first equality, if we show that the operator R_t defined by

$$R_t u := \int_0^t S_{A_0}(t-\tau) BS_A(\tau) u d\tau = \sigma^2 \int_0^t S_{A_0}(t-\tau) J * S_A(\tau) u d\tau$$

is compact from $L^p \longrightarrow L^p$, we may deduce that $\omega_{ess}(A) < 0$.

First we show that for τ > 0 fixed the operator u → J * S_A(τ)u is compact. (Use the Kolmogorov-M. Riesz-Fréchet characterization of compact sets in L^p).



• Then one shows that for $\varepsilon > 0$ the operator

$$u\mapsto \int_{\varepsilon}^{t}S_{A_{0}}(t-\tau)J*S_{A}(\tau)ud\tau$$

is compact, and then we pass to the limit $\varepsilon \to 0$.

Finally we deduce

7 **Theorem.** There exist $c_0 > 0$ and a > 0 such that $u_0 \in L^p(\mathbb{R}^N)$ $\|S_A(t)u_0 - (u_0|\varphi)\varphi\|_{L^p} \le c_0 e^{-at} \|u_0 - (u_0|\varphi)\varphi\|_{L^p}.$

The same result can be proved in the space C₀(ℝ^N) and the space of bounded measures 𝔐(ℝ^N).

Linear nonlocal equation: convergence



• We want to study the convergence of positive solutions of the nonlocal equation

(15)
$$\begin{cases} \partial_t u = \sigma^2 (J * u - u) - (W(x) - \overline{W}(u))u & \text{in } (0, \infty) \times \mathbb{R}^N \\ u(0, x) = u_0(x) \ge 0 & \text{in } \mathbb{R}^N, \quad M(u_0) = 1. \end{cases}$$

where $J \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^N) \cap L^2(\mathbb{R}^N)$ and W satisfy

$$J \ge 0$$
, $\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} J(x) dx = 1$, $J(-x) = J(x)$, $\lim_{|x| \to \infty} W(x) = +\infty$,

while $\overline{W}(u)$ is a nonlocal term given by

$$\overline{W}(u) := \overline{W}(u(t)) := \langle u(t, \cdot), W \rangle := \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} W(y)u(t, y)dy.$$

Linear nonlocal equation: convergence



- We show first a convergence result for the linear equation, upon dropping the term $\overline{W}(u)u$ and setting
 - (16) $Av := -Lv + \lambda_1 v$ for $v \in D(A) := D(L)$, $v(t) := e^{(\lambda_1 + \sigma^2)t}u(t)$

and considering the equation

(17)
$$\begin{cases} \partial_t v = Av = -Lv + \lambda_1 v & \text{in } (0, \infty) \times \mathbb{R}^N \\ v(0, x) = u_0(x) & \text{in } \mathbb{R}^N. \end{cases}$$

► Here we have $A\varphi = 0$, and for $v \in D(L) \cap \varphi^{\perp}$ we know that

 $(Lv|v) \geq \lambda_2 \|v\|^2$,

and thus

```
(Av|v) \le (\lambda_1 - \lambda_2) \|v\|^2.
```



Since λ₁ − λ₂ < 0, we conclude easily that for any u₀ ∈ φ[⊥] the solution v(t) of (17) converges to zero, and more precisely we have

$$\left\| e^{tA} u_0 \right\| = \|S_A(t) u_0\| = \|v(t)\| \le e^{(\lambda_1 - \lambda_2)t} \|u_0\|.$$

• Consequently for any $u_0 \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^N)$ we have

$$||S_A(t)u_0 - (u_0|\varphi)\varphi|| \le e^{(\lambda_1 - \lambda_2)t} ||u_0 - (u_0|\varphi)\varphi||.$$

▶ The same result can be established in the spaces $L^p(\mathbb{R}^N)$ for $1 \le p < \infty$, as well as in $C_0(\mathbb{R}^N)$ and in the space of bounded measures $\mathcal{M}(\mathbb{R}^N)$.



(18)
$$\begin{cases} \partial_t u = \sigma^2 (J * u - u) - (W(x) - \overline{W}(u))u & \text{in } (0, \infty) \times \mathbb{R}^N \\ u(0, x) = u_0(x) \ge 0 & \text{in } \mathbb{R}^N, \quad M(u_0) = 1. \end{cases}$$

where

$$\overline{W}(u) := \overline{W}(u(t)) := \langle u(t, \cdot), W \rangle := \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} W(y)u(t, y)dy.$$

Recall that we have set

(19) $Av := -Lv + \lambda_1 v$ for $v \in D(A) := D(L)$, $v(t) := e^{(\lambda_1 + \sigma^2)t} u(t)$ and that $S_A(t) := e^{tA}$ is the semigroup generated by A.



Lemma. Assume that 8

> $u_0 \ge 0$, $(1+W)u_0 \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^N)$, $M(u_0) = 1$. *Then the solution of* (18) *is given by* $u(t) = \frac{S_A(t)u_0}{M(S_A(t)u_0)}.$





• We begin by showing that

 $\frac{d}{dt}M(S_A(t)u_0) = M(AS_A(t)u_0) = (\lambda_1 + \sigma^2)M(S_A(t)u_0) - M(WS_A(t)u_0).$

Next, using the above calculation we have

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_t u &= \frac{d}{dt} \frac{S_A(t)u_0}{M(S_A(t)u_0)} = \frac{AS_A(t)u_0}{M(S_A(t)u_0)} - \frac{M(AS_A(t)u_0)}{M(S_A(t)u_0)} u(t). \\ &= Au(t) - (\lambda_1 + \sigma^2)u(t) + M(Wu(t))u(t) \\ &= -Lu(t) - \sigma^2 u(t) + \overline{W}(u(t))u(t) \\ &= \sigma^2 (J * u - u) - Wu + \overline{W}(u)u. \end{aligned}$$



• We can now state

9 Theorem. Set $\varphi_1 := \varphi/M(\varphi)$. Then there exists a > 0 such that for any $u_0 \ge 0$ and $M(u_0) = 1$, for a constant $c(u_0)$ depending on u_0 , the solution of (18) satisfies

 $||u(t) - \varphi_1||_{L^1} \le c(u_0) e^{-at}.$

▶ The result can also be proved for the norms in $L^p(\mathbb{R}^N)$ for $1 \le p < \infty$, as well as in $C_0(\mathbb{R}^N)$ and $\mathcal{M}(\mathbb{R}^N)$.



It is sufficient to write
$$z(t) := u(t) - \varphi_1$$
 as
$$z(t) = \frac{M(\varphi)S_A(t)u_0 - M(S_A(t)u_0)\varphi}{M(S_A(t)u_0)M(\varphi)}$$

$$= \frac{(u_0|\varphi)M(\varphi)S_A(t)u_0 - M(S_A(t)u_0)(u_0|\varphi)\varphi}{M(S_A(t)u_0)M(\varphi)(u_0|\varphi)}$$

$$= \frac{M((u_0|\varphi)\varphi - S_A(t)u_0)S_A(t)u_0 + M(S_A(t)u_0)(S_A(t)u_0 - (u_0|\varphi)\varphi)}{M(S_A(t)u_0)M(\varphi)(u_0|\varphi)}$$

► This yields

$$\begin{aligned} \|z(t)\|_{L^{1}} &\leq \frac{\|S_{A}(t)u_{0} - (u_{0}|\varphi)\varphi\|_{L^{1}}}{M(\varphi)(u_{0}|\varphi)} \cdot \frac{\|S_{A}(t)u_{0}\|_{L^{1}}}{M(S_{A}(t)u_{0})} \\ &+ \frac{\|S_{A}(t)u_{0} - (u_{0}|\varphi)\varphi\|_{L^{1}}}{M(\varphi)(u_{0}|\varphi)} \end{aligned}$$