# Université de BORDEAUX

# Boundary control problem of a water waves system in a tank

PEI SU

Supervisors: Marius Tucsnak and David Lannes

(pei.su@u-bordeaux.fr)



#### **PROBLEM SETTING**

Consider the small amplitude gravity water waves in a 2-D rectangular domain  $\Omega$ . The control u on a lateral boundary is used to regulate the acceleration field of the fluid imposed by a rigid wave maker. **Question**: How to describe the decay rate of the elevation of the free surface in a pool? How does it behaves when taking the shallowness limit?



## **GOVERNING EQUATIONS**

Let  $\phi(t, x, y)$  be the velocity potential (for irrotational field). The motion of a inviscid, incompressible and irrotational fluid is described by the free surface Bernoulli equations [2]:

$$\Delta \phi = 0 \qquad \text{in} \quad \Omega, \tag{1}$$

and ( $P_{atm}$ : the (constant) atmospheric pressure)

$$\partial_t \phi + \frac{1}{2} |\nabla \phi|^2 + gy = -\frac{P - P_{atm}}{\rho} \quad \text{in} \quad \Omega$$
 (2)

The continuity of the pressure across the interface (without surface tension):



 $\zeta(t, x)$ : The elevation of the free surface; h(y): The profile of the active boundary, say  $\Gamma_1$ ; Rigid wave maker: The horizontal velocity v = h(y)w(t) and  $u = \dot{w}(t)$ , Shallow water regime: the shallowness parameter  $\mu = \frac{h_0^2}{L^2} \ll 1$ .  $P = P_{atm}$  on  $\Gamma_s$ . (3) Based on the small-amplitude assumption (drop nonlinear terms) we obtain from (2) that

$$\partial_t \phi + g\zeta = 0 \qquad \text{on} \quad \Gamma_s,$$
 (4)

Boundary equations ( $\vec{n}$ : the outer normal):

(kinematic condition)  $\partial_{\vec{n}}\phi = \partial_t \zeta$  with  $\vec{n} = \begin{pmatrix} -\nabla\zeta \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}$  on  $\Gamma_s$ , (imposed wave maker)  $\partial_{\vec{n}}\phi = v(t, y)$  on  $\Gamma_1$ , (5) (impermeable condition)  $\partial_{\vec{n}}\phi = 0$  on  $\Gamma_2 \cup \Gamma_f$ .

• Difficulties: non-smooth domain, mixed boundary condition

#### **BASIC CONCEPTS**

1. A well-posed linear control system (A, B) on X:
• A is a generator of a C<sub>0</sub>-semigroup (T<sub>t</sub>)<sub>t≥0</sub> on X;
• B is an admissible control operator for T, i.e.

Ran 
$$\Phi_{\tau} \subset X$$
, with  $\Phi_{\tau} u = \int_{0}^{\tau} \mathbb{T}_{\tau-s} Bu(s) ds$ ,  $\forall \tau \ge 0$ .

2. Stabilizability of the system (A, B) with bounded feedback

#### **TWO IMPORTANT OPERATORS**

1. **Partial Dirichlet and Partial Neumann map** [1]: Assume that  $\Phi$  and  $\Psi$  satisfy:

$$\begin{cases} \Delta \Phi = 0 & \text{in } \Omega, \\ \Phi = \varphi & \text{on } \Gamma_s, \\ \partial_{\vec{n}} \Phi = 0 & \text{on } \Gamma_1 \cup \Gamma_2 \cup \Gamma_f. \end{cases}$$

$$\begin{cases} \Delta \Psi = 0 & \text{in } \Omega, \\ \Psi = 0 & \text{on } \Gamma_s, \\ \partial_{\vec{n}} \Psi = \psi & \text{on } \Gamma_1, \\ \partial_{\vec{n}} \Psi = 0 & \text{on } \Gamma_2 \cup \Gamma_f. \end{cases}$$
(6)

*F* ∈  $\mathcal{L}(X, U)$ : Let  $\mathbb{T}^{cl}$  be the *C*<sub>0</sub>-semigroup generated by *A* + *BF*. • Exponentially stabilizable:

 $\|\mathbb{T}^{cl}z\|_X \le Ce^{-\alpha t} \|z\|_X, \quad (\alpha > 0),$ 

• Strongly stabilizable:

 $\lim_{t \to 0} \|\mathbb{T}^{cl} z\| = 0, \quad \forall z \in X,$ 

• Uniformly stabilizable for smooth data (USSD):

 $\|\mathbb{T}^{cl} z\|_X \leq f(t) \|z\|_{\mathcal{D}(A)}, \quad \forall z \in \mathcal{D}(A).$ where  $f : [0, \infty) \to [0, \infty)$  with  $\lim_{t \to 0} f(t) = 0.$ 

## MAIN RESULTS [3][4]

1. Let  $h \in L_0^2[-1,0]$ . For every  $u \in L_{loc}^2[0,+\infty)$ , there exists a well-posed linear control system (A, B) with the state  $z \in X = H_{\frac{1}{2}} \times H$  and input  $u \in U = \mathbb{C}$ , s.t. *z* solves

$$\begin{cases} \dot{z}(t) = Az(t) + Bu(t) \\ z(0) = z_0 \end{cases}$$
(8)

with 
$$z(t) = \begin{bmatrix} \zeta(t, \cdot) \\ \dot{\zeta}(t, \cdot) \end{bmatrix}, \quad B = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ B_0 \end{bmatrix}, \quad A = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & I \\ -A_0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Partial Dirchlet map  $D: \varphi \mapsto \Phi$ , Partial Neumann map  $N: \psi \mapsto \Psi$ . 2. Dirichlet to Neumann  $A_0$  and Neumann to Neumann  $B_0$ :

$$A_0: \varphi \longmapsto \partial_{\vec{n}} \Phi \big|_{\Gamma_s}, \qquad B_0: \psi \longmapsto \partial_{\vec{n}} \Psi \big|_{\Gamma_s}.$$
(7)

3. **Properties**: Let  $H = L_0^2(0, \pi) = \left\{ f \in L^2(0, \pi) \middle| \int_0^{\pi} f(x) dx = 0 \right\}$  and let  $\mathcal{H}^1(\Omega)$  be the classical Sobolev spaces.  $\left\{ \varphi_k(x) = \sqrt{\frac{2}{\pi}} \cos(kx) \right\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$  forms an orthonormal basis in H. Define a series of Hilbert spaces by

$$H_{\alpha} = \left\{ \eta \in H \bigg| \sum_{k \in \mathbb{N}} k^{2\alpha} |\langle \eta, \varphi_k \rangle|^2 < \infty \right\} \qquad (\alpha \ge 0).$$

• The partial Dirichlet map  $D \in \mathcal{L}(L^2[0,\pi], L^2(\Omega));$ The partial Neumann map  $N \in \mathcal{L}(L^2[-1,0], \mathcal{H}^1_{top}(\Omega))$  with  $\mathcal{H}^1_{top}(\Omega) = \{f \in \mathcal{H}^1(\Omega) | f(x,0) = 0, x \in (0,\pi)\};$ •  $A_0 : H_1 \to H$  is strictly positive and for each  $k \in \mathbb{N}$   $A_0 \varphi_k = \lambda_k \varphi_k, \qquad \lambda_k = k \tanh(k) \quad \forall \ k \in \mathbb{N}.$  $P_k \in \mathcal{L}(L^2[-1,0], L^2[0,\pi])$  In our case  $P_k \in \mathcal{L}(\mathbb{C}, H)$  since  $\psi$ 

 $B_0 \in \mathcal{L}(L^2[-1,0], L^2[0,\pi])$ . In our case,  $B_0 \in \mathcal{L}(\mathbb{C}, H)$  since  $\psi = h(y)u(t)$ and  $h \in L^2_0[-1,0]$  which ensures the conservation of volume.

2. The well-posed linear control system  $(\mathbb{T}, \Phi)$  is strongly stabilizable, but it fails the exponential stabilizability;  $(\mathbb{T}, \Phi)$  is USSD if

$$\inf_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{k}{\cosh k} \left| \int_{-1}^{0} h(y) \cosh[k(y+1)] \mathrm{d}y \right| > 0$$

s.t.  $\mathbb{T}^{cl}$  (with generator  $A - BB^*$ ) satisfies

 $\|\mathbb{T}_t^{cl} z_0\|_X \le (1+t)^{-\frac{1}{6}} \|z_0\|_{\mathcal{D}(A)}, \quad \forall z \in \mathcal{D}(A).$ (9)

3. For any initial data  $\zeta_0 \in \mathcal{H}^1[0, \pi]$  and  $\zeta_1 \in L^2[0, \pi]$ , let  $\zeta_\mu$  be the solution of the solution of the water waves and let  $\zeta$  be the solution of the wave equation with Neumann boundary control. Then we have

$$\lim_{\mu \to 0} \sup_{t \in [0,\tau]} \|\zeta_{\mu} - \zeta\|_{\mathcal{H}^{\frac{1}{2}}[0,\pi]} = 0,$$
  
$$\lim_{\mu \to 0} \sup_{t \in [0,\tau]} \|\partial_t \zeta_{\mu} - \partial_t \zeta\|_{L^2[0,\pi]} = 0.$$

#### PERSPECTIVES

The similar problem on general convex domain;
Nonlinear shallow water waves in a canal with a boundary control;
The interaction between surface water waves and a floating body in the shallow water regime.

#### REFERENCES

- [1] Mottelet, S. (2000). Controllability and stabilization of a canal with wave generators. *SIAM J. on Control and Optim.*, 38(3), 711–735.
- [2] Lannes, D. (2013). *The Water Waves Problem: Mathematical Analysis and Asymptotics*, volume 188. American Math. Soc., Providence, RI.
- [3] Su, P., Tucsnak, M., and Weiss, G. (2020). Stabilizability properties of a linearized water waves system. *Systems & Control Letters*, 139, 104672.
- [4] Su, P. (2021). Asymptotic behaviour of a linearized water waves system in a rectangle. arXiv:2104.00286.