

# STABLE DETERMINATION OF COEFFICIENTS IN A COUPLED SEMILINEAR PARABOLIC SYSTEM WITH DYNAMIC BOUNDARY CONDITIONS

E. M. Ait Ben Hassi S. E. Chorfi L. Maniar

Cadi Ayyad University, Faculty of Sciences Semlalia of Marrakesh



كلية العلوم  
السملاية - مراكش  
FACULTÉ DES SCIENCES  
SEMLALIA - MARRAKECH

## Abstract

This work [4] is devoted to deriving a Lipschitz stability estimate for interior and boundary potentials in a coupled semilinear parabolic system with dynamic boundary conditions, using only one distributed observation component. The proof relies on some new Carleman estimates for dynamic boundary conditions.

## Model

We consider the following system of coupled parabolic equations with dynamic boundary conditions.

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t y = \operatorname{div}(A(x)\nabla y) + p_{11}(x)y + p_{12}(x)z + p_{13}(x)f(y, z), & \text{in } \Omega_T, \\ \partial_t z = \operatorname{div}(A(x)\nabla z) + p_{21}(x)y + p_{22}(x)z, & \text{in } \Omega_T, \\ \partial_t y_\Gamma = \operatorname{div}_\Gamma(D(x)\nabla_\Gamma y_\Gamma) - \partial_\nu^A y + q_{11}(x)y_\Gamma + q_{12}(x)z_\Gamma \\ \quad + q_{13}(x)g(y_\Gamma, z_\Gamma), & \text{on } \Gamma_T, \\ \partial_t z_\Gamma = \operatorname{div}_\Gamma(D(x)\nabla_\Gamma z_\Gamma) - \partial_\nu^A z + q_{21}(x)y_\Gamma + q_{22}(x)z_\Gamma, & \text{on } \Gamma_T, \\ y_\Gamma(t, x) = y|_\Gamma(t, x), \quad z_\Gamma(t, x) = z|_\Gamma(t, x), & \text{on } \Gamma_T, \\ (y, y_\Gamma)|_{t=0} = (y_0, y_{0,\Gamma}), \quad (z, z_\Gamma)|_{t=0} = (z_0, z_{0,\Gamma}), & \Omega \times \Gamma, \end{cases} \quad (1)$$

where  $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^N$  is a bounded domain of smooth boundary  $\Gamma$ ,  $\Omega_T = (0, T) \times \Omega$ ,  $\Gamma_T = (0, T) \times \Gamma$ . Here,  $(y_0, y_{0,\Gamma}), (z_0, z_{0,\Gamma}) \in L^2(\Omega) \times L^2(\Gamma)$  are the initial states, and the potentials are such that  $p_{ij} \in L^\infty(\Omega)$  and  $q_{ij} \in L^\infty(\Gamma)$ . The nonlinearities  $f, g: \mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  are Lipschitz continuous with respect to the two variables. We assume that the diffusion matrices  $A$  and  $D$  are symmetric and uniformly elliptic. By  $y|_\Gamma$ , one designates the trace of  $y$ , and by  $\partial_\nu^A y := (A\nabla y \cdot \nu)|_\Gamma$  the conormal derivative. The operator  $\operatorname{div} = \operatorname{div}_x$  stands for the Euclidean divergence operator in  $\Omega$ , and  $\operatorname{div}_\Gamma$  stands for the tangential divergence operator in  $\Gamma$ . Semilinear systems such as (1) arise in biological and ecological models in climatology, special flows in hydrodynamics, and chemical reactions.

## A brief Literature

In the case of coupled systems with static boundary conditions, Cristofol et al. have proven in [3] some stability results for a coefficient in a nonlinear parabolic system. Their proof is based on a modified Carleman estimate with one observation component.

As for dynamic boundary conditions, Maniar et al. [2] have proven a Carleman estimate for a (single) heat system with dynamic boundary conditions in the isotropic case, i.e.,  $A = dI$  et  $D = \delta I$ , where  $d, \delta > 0$  are positive constants.

## Inverse Problem

For fixed constant  $R > 0$ , we denote the set of admissible potentials by

$$\mathcal{P} := \{(p, q) \in \mathbb{L}^\infty : \|p\|_\infty, \|q\|_\infty \leq R\}. \quad (2)$$

We are interested in the simultaneous determination of the coupling coefficients using only one observation component, namely, the identification of the potentials

$$p_{13} := (p_{13}, q_{13}) \quad \text{and} \quad p_{21} := (p_{21}, q_{21})$$

belonging to  $\mathcal{P}$ , from the measurement  $z|_{(t_0, t_1) \times \omega}$ ,  $(t_0, t_1) \subset (0, T)$ ,  $\omega \Subset \Omega$ .

## Results

We set  $\mathbb{L}^2 := L^2(\Omega) \times L^2(\Gamma)$  and  $\mathbb{H}^2 := \{(u, u_\Gamma) \in H^2(\Omega) \times H^2(\Gamma) : u|_\Gamma = u_\Gamma\}$ .

**Assumption I.**

(i)  $(p_{ij}, q_{ij}), (\tilde{p}_{13}, \tilde{q}_{13}), (\tilde{p}_{21}, \tilde{q}_{21}) \in \mathcal{P}$ , for  $i = 1, 2$  and  $j = 1, 2, 3$ .

(ii) There exist constants  $r > 0$  and  $p_0 > 0$  such that

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{y}_0, \tilde{y}_{0,\Gamma} &\geq r \quad \text{and} \quad \tilde{z}_0, \tilde{z}_{0,\Gamma} \geq 0, \\ p_{11}r + p_{12}\tilde{z}_0 + \tilde{p}_{13}f(r, \tilde{z}_0) &\geq 0, \\ q_{11}r + q_{12}\tilde{z}_{0,\Gamma} + \tilde{q}_{13}g(r, \tilde{z}_{0,\Gamma}) &\geq 0, \\ p_{21} &\geq p_0 \quad \text{and} \quad \tilde{p}_{21} \geq p_0. \end{aligned}$$

**Assumption II.** We set  $\theta = \frac{t_0+t_1}{2}$ .

(i)  $f, g \in W^{1,\infty}(\mathbb{R}^2)$ .

(ii)  $\exists r_1 > 0$ :  $|f(\tilde{y}, \tilde{z})(\theta, \cdot)| \geq r_1 > 0$ ,  $|g(\tilde{y}_\Gamma, \tilde{z}_\Gamma)(\theta, \cdot)| \geq r_1 > 0$ .

(iii)  $\partial_t f(\tilde{y}, \tilde{z}) \in L^2(t_0, t_1; L^\infty(\Omega))$ ,  $\partial_t g(\tilde{y}_\Gamma, \tilde{z}_\Gamma) \in L^2(t_0, t_1; L^\infty(\Gamma))$ .

We mainly aim to establish the following Lipschitz stability estimate.

**Theorem.** Let assumptions **Assumption I** and **Assumption II** be satisfied. We further assume that  $\tilde{Y}_0, \tilde{Z}_0 \in \mathbb{H}^2$  and  $(y, z)(\theta, \cdot) = (\tilde{y}, \tilde{z})(\theta, \cdot)$  in  $\Omega$ . Then there exists a positive constant  $C = C(\Omega, \omega, p_0, \theta, t_0, t_1, r, R)$  such that

$$\|(p_{21} - \tilde{p}_{21}, q_{21} - \tilde{q}_{21})\|_{\mathbb{L}^2} + \|(p_{13} - \tilde{p}_{13}, q_{13} - \tilde{q}_{13})\|_{\mathbb{L}^2} \leq C \|\partial_t z - \partial_t \tilde{z}\|_{L^2(\omega_{t_0, t_1})}.$$

The proof draws on the following steps:

**1. Positivity of the solution:** We consider the solution of the following system.

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t y = \operatorname{div}(A(x)\nabla y) + f_1(y, z), & \text{in } \Omega_T, \\ \partial_t z = \operatorname{div}(A(x)\nabla z) + f_2(y, z), & \text{in } \Omega_T, \\ \partial_t y_\Gamma = \operatorname{div}_\Gamma(D(x)\nabla_\Gamma y_\Gamma) - \partial_\nu^A y + g_1(y_\Gamma, z_\Gamma), & \text{on } \Gamma_T, \\ \partial_t z_\Gamma = \operatorname{div}_\Gamma(D(x)\nabla_\Gamma z_\Gamma) - \partial_\nu^A z + g_2(y_\Gamma, z_\Gamma), & \text{on } \Gamma_T, \\ y_\Gamma(t, x) = y|_\Gamma(t, x), \quad z_\Gamma(t, x) = z|_\Gamma(t, x), & \text{on } \Gamma_T, \\ (y, y_\Gamma)|_{t=0} = (y_0, y_{0,\Gamma}), \quad (z, z_\Gamma)|_{t=0} = (z_0, z_{0,\Gamma}), & \Omega \times \Gamma. \end{cases} \quad (3)$$

We will use the following assumption to prove that (3) has nonnegative solution for nonnegative initial data:

**(QP)** The functions  $f_1, f_2, g_1$  and  $g_2$  are quasi-positive. That is,

$$\begin{aligned} f_1(0, v) &\geq 0 \quad \text{and} \quad g_1(0, v) \geq 0 \quad \forall v \geq 0, \\ f_2(u, 0) &\geq 0 \quad \text{and} \quad g_2(u, 0) \geq 0 \quad \forall u \geq 0. \end{aligned}$$

**Lemma.** Let  $(y_0, y_{0,\Gamma})$  and  $(z_0, z_{0,\Gamma})$  be componentwise nonnegative initial data. Suppose that **(QP)** holds true. Then the solution  $(y, z, y_\Gamma, z_\Gamma)$  of (3) is componentwise nonnegative.

**2. General Carleman estimate:** We adopt the same weight functions  $\alpha$  and  $\xi$  as in [1]. Let  $\tau \in \mathbb{R}$ . Denote

$$\begin{aligned} I_\Omega(\tau, z) &= \int_{\Omega_{t_0, t_1}} e^{-2s\alpha(s\xi)^{\tau-1}} (|\partial_t z|^2 + |\operatorname{div}(A(x)\nabla z)|^2) dx dt + \lambda^2 \int_{\Omega_{t_0, t_1}} e^{-2s\alpha(s\xi)^{\tau+1}} |\nabla z|^2 dx dt \\ &\quad + \lambda^4 \int_{\Omega_{t_0, t_1}} e^{-2s\alpha(s\xi)^{\tau+3}} |z|^2 dx dt, \\ I_\Gamma(\tau, z_\Gamma, z) &= \int_{\Gamma_{t_0, t_1}} e^{-2s\alpha(s\xi)^{\tau-1}} (|\partial_t z_\Gamma|^2 + |\operatorname{div}_\Gamma(D(x)\nabla_\Gamma z_\Gamma)|^2) dS dt + \lambda \int_{\Gamma_{t_0, t_1}} e^{-2s\alpha(s\xi)^{\tau+1}} |\nabla_\Gamma z_\Gamma|^2 dS dt \\ &\quad + \lambda^3 \int_{\Gamma_{t_0, t_1}} e^{-2s\alpha(s\xi)^{\tau+3}} |z_\Gamma|^2 dS dt + \lambda \int_{\Gamma_{t_0, t_1}} e^{-2s\alpha(s\xi)^{\tau+1}} |\partial_\nu^A z|^2 dS dt. \end{aligned}$$

**Lemma (Carleman estimate).** Let  $\tau \in \mathbb{R}$ . There are three positive constants  $\lambda_1 = \lambda_1(\Omega, \omega)$ ,  $s_1 = s_1(\Omega, \omega, \tau)$  and  $C = C(\Omega, \omega, \tau)$  such that, for any  $\lambda \geq \lambda_1$  and  $s \geq s_1$ , the following inequality holds

$$I_\Omega(\tau, z) + I_\Gamma(\tau, z_\Gamma, z) \leq C \left[ \lambda^4 \int_{\omega_{t_0, t_1}} e^{-2s\alpha(s\xi)^{\tau+3}} |z|^2 dx dt + \int_{\Gamma_{t_0, t_1}} e^{-2s\alpha(s\xi)^\tau} |L_\Gamma(z_\Gamma, z)|^2 dS dt \right]$$

for all  $Z = (z, z_\Gamma) \in H^1(0, T; \mathbb{L}^2) \cap L^2(0, T; \mathbb{H}^2)$ .

**Remark.** The above Carleman estimate does not yield the desired stability estimate for the nonlinear coupled system (1), since we need appropriate powers in  $s$  and  $\lambda$  to absorb some terms on the right-hand side. To this end, we need a modified form of Carleman estimate with one observation.

**3. A modified Carleman estimate:** Consider the following system.

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t y = \operatorname{div}(A(x)\nabla y) + p_{11}(x)y + p_{12}(x)z + f_1, & \text{in } \Omega_T, \\ \partial_t z = \operatorname{div}(A(x)\nabla z) + p_{21}(x)y + p_{22}(x)z + f_2, & \text{in } \Omega_T, \\ \partial_t y_\Gamma = \operatorname{div}_\Gamma(D(x)\nabla_\Gamma y_\Gamma) - \partial_\nu^A y + q_{11}(x)y_\Gamma + q_{12}(x)z_\Gamma + g_1, & \text{on } \Gamma_T, \\ \partial_t z_\Gamma = \operatorname{div}_\Gamma(D(x)\nabla_\Gamma z_\Gamma) - \partial_\nu^A z + q_{21}(x)y_\Gamma + q_{22}(x)z_\Gamma + g_2, & \text{on } \Gamma_T, \\ y_\Gamma(t, x) = y|_\Gamma(t, x), \quad z_\Gamma(t, x) = z|_\Gamma(t, x), & \text{on } \Gamma_T, \\ (y, y_\Gamma)|_{t=0} = (y_0, y_{0,\Gamma}), \quad (z, z_\Gamma)|_{t=0} = (z_0, z_{0,\Gamma}), & \Omega \times \Gamma. \end{cases} \quad (4)$$

**Lemma.** There exist three positive constants  $\lambda_1 = \lambda_1(\Omega, \omega) \geq 1$ ,  $s_1 = s_1(T, \lambda_1) > 1$  and  $C = C(\Omega, \omega, R, T, r_0)$  such that, for any  $\lambda \geq \lambda_1$  and  $s \geq s_1$  with fixed  $\epsilon > 0$ , the following inequality holds

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda^{-4+\epsilon} [I_\Omega(-3, y) + I_\Gamma(-3, y_\Gamma, y)] + I_\Omega(0, z) + I_\Gamma(0, z_\Gamma, z) \\ \leq C \left[ s^4 \lambda^{4+\epsilon} \int_{\omega_{t_0, t_1}} e^{-2s\alpha\xi^4} |z|^2 dx dt + \int_{\Gamma_{t_0, t_1}} e^{-2s\alpha\xi^{-3}} |g_1|^2 dS dt \right] \\ + s^{-3} \lambda^{-9+\epsilon} \left( \int_{\Omega_{t_0, t_1}} e^{-2s\alpha\xi^{-3}} |f_1|^2 dx dt + \int_{\Gamma_{t_0, t_1}} e^{-2s\alpha\xi^{-3}} |g_1|^2 dS dt \right) \\ + \lambda^{2\epsilon} \left( \int_{\Omega_{t_0, t_1}} e^{-2s\alpha} |f_2|^2 dx dt + \int_{\Gamma_{t_0, t_1}} e^{-2s\alpha} |g_2|^2 dS dt \right). \end{aligned}$$

## References

- [1] A. V. Fursikov and O. Y. Imanuvilov. *Controllability of Evolution Equations*. Vol. 34. 1996.
- [2] L. Maniar M. Meyries and R. Schnaubelt. "Null controllability for parabolic equations with dynamic boundary conditions of reactive-diffusive type". In: *Evol. Equat. and Cont. Theo.* 6 (2017), pp. 381–407.
- [3] M. Cristofol P. Gaitan H. Ramoul and M. Yamamoto. "Identification of two coefficients with data of one component for a nonlinear parabolic system". In: *Applicable Analysis* 91 (Mar. 2012), pp. 2073–2081.
- [4] E. M. Ait Ben Hassi S. E. Chorfi and L. Maniar. "Stable determination of several coefficients by one observation in a coupled semilinear parabolic system with dynamic boundary conditions". In: (2021).