

ISS Lyapunov strictification via observer design and integral action control for a Korteweg-de Vries equation

Ismaila Balogoun¹ Swann Marx¹ Daniele Astolfi²

¹LS2N, Ecole Centrale de Nantes and CNRS UMR 6004, Nantes, France ²Université Lyon 1 CNRS UMR 5007 LAGEPP, France .

November 15, 2021

Motivation

The aim of this work is to use an integral action and an output feedback control law to solve to the output regulation problem of a linear Korteweg-de-Vries (KdV) system subject to a distributed disturbance.

Problem statement

Consider the Korteweg-de Vries equation

$$\begin{cases} w_t + w_x + w_{xxx} = d(x), (t, x) \in \mathbb{R}_+ \times [0, L] \\ w(t, 0) = w(t, L) = 0, t \in \mathbb{R}_+ \\ w_x(t, L) = u(t), t \in \mathbb{R}_+ \\ w(0, x) = w_0(x), x \in [0, L], \end{cases} \quad (1)$$

$u(t) \in \mathbb{R}$ is a **control** $d \in L^2(0, L)$ is an unknown **disturbance**.

Question

Assume the **output** is $y(t) := w_x(t, 0)$. Is it possible to design an **output feedback law** $u(t) := f(w_x(t, 0))$ such that:

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow +\infty} |y(t) - r| = 0,$$

where r is a given **reference**, and despite the disturbance ?

A finite-dimensional example

$$\dot{w}(t) = u(t) + d,$$

$w(t) \in \mathbb{R}$, u is the **control**, d is a constant **disturbance**.

How can one design a feedback $u(t) = f(w(t))$ such that

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow +\infty} |w(t) - r| = 0, \text{ where } r \text{ is a given reference ?}$$

Obstruction

Static feedback-laws are **not enough**. Indeed, if $u(t) = -k(w(t) - r)$, then one has

$$|w(t) - r|^2 \leq |w(0) - r|^2 e^{-(k-\epsilon)t} + \frac{1 - e^{-(k-\epsilon)t}}{\epsilon(k-\epsilon)} d^2$$

with $k > 0$ and $\epsilon > 0$ such that $k - \epsilon > 0$. This means that the feedback u is not robust with respect to the disturbance d .

A simple solution known for a long time to this problem is to add an integral term which also uses the information of the previous disturbance and absorbs this disturbance.

The integral action principle

PI controller

$$\begin{cases} \dot{w}(t) = - \underbrace{k_p(w(t))}_{\text{proportional action}} - \underbrace{k_i z(t)}_{\text{integral action}} + d, \\ \dot{z}(t) = w(t) - r \end{cases}$$

- The **proportional** action **stabilizes** w .
- The **integral** action modifies the **equilibrium points**.

Stability and Observability properties

Assuming that $L \notin \mathcal{N} := \left\{ 2\pi \sqrt{\frac{k^2 + kl + l^2}{3}} \mid k, l \in \mathbb{N} \right\}$, then, when $u = 0$ and $d = 0$ one has

- 1 the origin of (1) is **globally exponentially stable** [Rosier, 1997]
- 2 the output $y(t) = w_x(t, 0)$ is **exactly observable** [Rosier, 1997].

Main result

The open-loop is stable \Rightarrow **no need** of a **proportional** action.

$$\begin{cases} w_t + w_x + w_{xxx} = d(x), (t, x) \in \mathbb{R}_+, \\ w(t, 0) = w(t, L) = 0, t \in \mathbb{R}_+, \\ w_x(t, L) = kz(t), t \in \mathbb{R}_+ \\ \dot{z}(t) = y(t) - r, t \in \mathbb{R}_+ \\ w(0, x) = w_0(x), z(0) = z_0, x \in [0, L]. \end{cases}$$

Main result

Notation: w_∞, z_∞ are the equilibrium points.

$$D(\mathcal{A}) := \{z, w \in \mathbb{R} \times L^2(0, L) \mid w(0) = w(L) = 0, w'(L) = z\}.$$

Theorem

Let $k \in (0, k^*)$. Then, for any $(d, r) \in L^2(0, L) \times \mathbb{R}$:

1. There exist $\nu, C > 0$ such that, for all $(z_0, w_0) \in \mathbb{R} \times L^2(0, L)$, and for all $t \geq 0$

$$\|(z, w) - (z_\infty, w_\infty)\|_{L^2 \times \mathbb{R}} \leq Ce^{-\nu t} \|(z_0, w_0) - (z_\infty, w_\infty)\|_{L^2 \times \mathbb{R}}$$

2. The output y is **regulated** towards the reference r . In other words, for any $(z_0, w_0) \in D(\mathcal{A})$

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow +\infty} |w_x(t, 0) - r| = 0.$$

for any **strong solution**.

Proof

- I. Build a **ISS-Lyapunov functional** for the KdV equation.

When $u = d = 0$, we recall that $E(w) := \frac{1}{2} \|w\|_{L^2}^2$ satisfies:

$$\frac{d}{dt} E(w) = -|w_x(t, 0)|^2 = -|y(t)|^2.$$

Then, **nonpositivity** is ensured but the right hand side **depends only on the output**.

\Rightarrow It is a **weak Lyapunov functional**.

Following [Praly, 2019], we **strictify** E with an **observer**.

Strictification ?

Consists in **modifying** a weak Lyapunov functional to make it **strict** ([Malisoff & Mazenc, 2009], [Prieur & Mazenc, 2012]).

Using the backstepping method based on the Fredholm transform, one proves the existence of $p \in L^2(0, L)$ such that the observer \hat{w}

$$\begin{cases} \hat{w}_t + \hat{w}_x + \hat{w}_{xxx} + p(x)[y(t) - \hat{w}_x(t, 0)] = 0 \\ \hat{w}(t, 0) = \hat{w}(t, L) = \hat{w}_x(t, L) = 0. \end{cases}$$

converge to w in the nominal condition. Consider $\tilde{w} := w - \hat{w}$ which satisfies

$$\begin{cases} \tilde{w}_t + \tilde{w}_x + \tilde{w}_{xxx} + p(x)\tilde{w}_x(t, 0) = d(x) \\ \tilde{w}(t, 0) = \tilde{w}(t, L) = 0, \hat{w}_x(t, L) = u(t). \end{cases} \quad (2)$$

One proves the existence of a ISS Lyapunov functional U for (2). Then

$$\frac{d}{dt} U(\tilde{w}) \leq -\lambda U(\tilde{w}) + |u(t)|^2 + \|d\|_{L^2}^2.$$

We rewrite (1) as follows

$$\begin{cases} w_t + w_x + w_{xxx} + p(x)w_x(t, 0) - p(x)w_x(t, 0) = d(x) \\ w(t, 0) = w(t, L) = 0, \\ w_x(t, L) = u(t). \end{cases}$$

Then, one has

$$\frac{d}{dt} U(w) \leq -\lambda U(w) + |u(t)|^2 + 2\|p\|_{L^2}^2 |w_x(t, 0)|^2 + 2\|d\|_{L^2}^2.$$

Recall that

$$\frac{d}{dt} E(w) \leq E(w) - |w_x(t, 0)|^2 + |u(t)|^2 + \frac{1}{2} \|d\|_{L^2}^2,$$

then choosing $V(w) := E(w) + aU(w)$ with $a = \frac{1}{2\|p\|_{L^2}^2}$, one has

$$\frac{d}{dt} V(w) \leq -\tilde{\lambda} U(w) + \tilde{\sigma} |u(t)|^2 + \gamma \|d\|_{L^2}^2$$

- II. Use the **forwarding** method [Mazenc & Praly, 1996], based on a **linear operator** $\mathcal{M} : L^2(0, L) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$:

$$W(w, z) = V(w) + b|z - \mathcal{M}w|^2.$$

- III. Select the **gains**.